

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

Ms

	STATES OF	449211119	1011, 2101 = 1	
			ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO.
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAM	MED INVENTOR		
APPLICATION NO.   FILING DATE				
		-	EXA	MINER
		l		
1				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				7
				,
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/419,262** 

Applicant(s)

-

Examiner

Mary B. Tung

Group Art Unit

1644

French, et al.



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle35 C.D.	11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expilonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of CFR 1.136(a).	ond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	the manager in the emplicat
X Claim(s) <u>1-38</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
X Claims <u>1-38</u>	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers  X See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re  The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
	10 approved
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	er 35 U S.C. & 119(a)-(d)
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und  All Some* Mone of the CERTIFIED copies of the	e priority documents have been
44 M	, priority accumulation
X received.  ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb)  [ ] The control of th	per)
received in this national stage application from the Int	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	i)
Interview Summary, PTO-413  X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
Notice of another Catally Approach 1, 1, 2, 1, 1	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TI	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial No. 09/419,262 Art Unit 1644

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restriction

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot Program. If you have any questions or suggestions, please contact Paula Hutzell, Supervisory Patent Examiner at paula.hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
  - I. Claims 1-20, are drawn to a method of treating a subject, classified in class 424, subclass 152.1.
  - II. Claims 21-24 are drawn to a method for determining prophylactic suitability using a Fas-Fc fusion protein, classified in class 424, subclass 134.1.
  - III. Claims 25-27, are drawn to a method for determining prophylactic suitability using Fas sensitive cells, classified in class 435, subclass 7.21.
  - IV. Claims 28-30, are drawn to a method for determining prophylactic suitability using Fas receptors, classified in class 435, subclass 7.2.
  - V. Claims 31-38, are drawn to a method for preparing antibodies and a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 145.1, class 530, subclass 413.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Groups I-V and are unique methods. They differ with respect to ingredients, process steps and endpoints to achieve different goals. Therefore, they are patentably distinct each from the other.
- 4. Groups V and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. 806.05(h)). In the present case, the product as claimed, the anti-Fas antibodies can be used in a method of immunopurification of Fas, for example.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and classifications, and because a non-patent literature and/or sequence search of any or these three

Serial No. 09/419,262 Art Unit 1644

distinct inventions would not be co-extensive with a search of the others, an examination and search of two or more inventions in a single application would constitute a serious undue burden on the Examiner, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the members of the groups are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the members to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 7. If Group I is elected, the Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- 8. To elect a specific disorder: as recited in claims 2-4 and 16.
- 9. Applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a specific species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The response must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 10. Should Applicants traverse on the ground that the members of the species are not patentable distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the members to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 11. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 12. The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.
- 13. The species are distinct each from the other for the following reasons:
- 14. The recited disorders are different diseases, with different etiologies, clinical presentations and treatment modalities.

Serial No. 09/419,262 Art Unit 1644

- 15. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with  $37 \, C.F.R. \, \S \, 1.48(b)$  if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under  $37 \, C.F.R. \, \S \, 1.48(b)$  and by the fee required under  $37 \, C.F.R. \, \S \, 1.17(h)$ .

## Conclusion

- 17. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1640 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). THE CM1 FAX CENTER TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Mary Tung whose telephone number is (703)308-9344. The Examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1640 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

December 14, 2000 Mary B. Tung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1640 MARY BETH TUNG, PH.D PATENT EXAMINED

Triain B Ing